Appl. No. 09/777,989 Atty. Docket No. 8035M Amdt. dated February 22, 2006

Reply to Office Action of January 30, 2006

Customer No. 27752

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings (Sheets 1-6) replaces the original sheets including Figures 1-6. In these replacement sheets, previously shown stamps containing the inventor name, title, etc. have been removed.

In addition, the attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Fig 6. This sheet, which includes Fig. 6, replaces the original sheet including Fig. 6. In Figure 6, previously omitted designation as prior art has been added.

Attachment:

Replacement Sheets

Appl. No. 09/777,989 Atty. Docket No. 8035M

Amdt. dated February 22, 2006

Reply to Office Action of January 30, 2006

Customer No. 27752

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claim Status

Claims 1-9 are pending in the present application. No additional claims fee is

believed to be due.

Claim 1 has been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim

applicant's invention. Support for the amendments is found in the Specification at page

10, line 25 through page 13, line 5 and page 13, line 10 through page 21, line19.

Claims 10-34 are canceled without prejudice.

The Specification has been amended to correct a typographical mistake regarding

the inadvertent omission of a claim of priority.

The Specification has been amended to correct a typographical mistake regarding

the characterization of a trademark.

It is believed these changes do not involve any introduction of new matter.

Consequently, entry of these changes is believed to be in order and is respectfully

requested.

Objection to Claims under 37 CFR 1.75(a)

The Office Action states that Claim 1 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicant

regards as the invention. Claim 1 has been amended to more distinctly claim and point out

the invention. Applicant respectfully asserts that this objection has now been obviated and

should be withdrawn.

The Office Action states that Claim 1 is objected to because it term "contribution"

provides uncertainty. As noted above, Claim 1 has been amended to remedy the

inadvertent uncertainty and, it is submitted, the objection is now rendered moot and

should be withdrawn.

The Office Action states that Claim 1 is objected to because it term "accumulated

peak width" lacks antecedent basis. As noted above, Claim 1 has been amended to

Page 7 of 8

Appl. No. 09/777,989 Atty. Docket No. 8035M

Amdt. dated February 22, 2006

Reply to Office Action of January 30, 2006

Customer No. 27752

remedy the inadvertent omission and, it is submitted, the objection is now rendered moot

and should be withdrawn.

The Office Action states that Claim 1 is objected to because step (v) and step (vi)

appear to have a "lack of connection." Applicant respectfully suggests that the connection

between the steps in question would be well understood by one of ordinary skill in the art.

Step (v) relates to the repetition of steps (i) through (v), where step (vi) allows for the

display of the accumulated peak with of said solute peak following step (v). Applicant,

therefore, respectfully asserts that this objection is in error and should be withdrawn.

<u>Information Disclosure Statement – Non-Patent Literature References</u>

Enclosed are the 102 non-patent literature references that the image file wrapper

in the USPTO show as missing, along with a photocopy of the Information Disclosure

Statement and PTO-SB08 as originally filed by Catherine Brown on July 20, 2001. This

was an inadvertently omitted in the prior response and is now being supplied as

requested.

Conclusion

In light of the above remarks, it is requested that the Examiner consider the

response submitted on May 25, 2005, along with this response, which should now be

complete. This response represents an earnest effort to place the application in proper

form and to distinguish the invention as now claimed from the applied references. In

view of the foregoing, reconsideration of this application, entry of the amendments

presented herein, and allowance of Claims 1-8 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

Ву

Signature Signature

Erich D. Hemm
Typed or Printed Name

D = - - + - - + - - N = - 47.000

Registration No. 47,286

(513) 634-8960

Date: February 22, 2006

Customer No. 27752

Page 8 of 8